

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/507,133	INOUE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin M. Bernatz	1773	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowed

 (1) Kevin M. Bernatz.

(3) _____.

 (2) John W. Bailey.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2007
Time: PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the Examiner proposed incorporating the limitations directed to the relative Young's modulus values, which were argued by Applicants on page 6 of the response filed February 26, 2007. The Examiner indicated that incorporation of the above identified limitations would appear to place the case into condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the proposed changes..